UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA HON. JENNIFER L. THURSTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NATHAN LARSON,

Defendant.

) 1:21-cr-00022-JLT

Status Conference Regarding

Defendant's Mental

Evaluation

Defendant.

Fresno, California

Thursday, March 31, 2022

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the Government: BRIAN ENOS

Assistant U.S. Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Rm. 4401 Fresno, California 93721

For the Defendant: Wanger, Jones, Helsley, PC

265 E. River Park Circle

Suite 310

Fresno, California 93720 BY: **PETER MICHAEL JONES**

REPORTED BY: RACHAEL LUNDY, CSR, RPR, Official Reporter

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1 Thursday, March 31, 2022 Fresno, California 2 9:05 a.m. 3 THE CLERK: The Court calls United States vs. Nathan 4 Daniel Larson, case number 1:21-cr-22, scheduled for status 5 conference. 6 THE COURT: Let me take appearances, please. MR. ENOS: Good morning, Your Honor. Brian Enos on 7 8 behalf of the United States. 9 MR. JONES: Peter Jones. I'm currently standby 10 counsel for Nathan Larson, who's representing himself at this 11 time. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 13 So I've been advised that Mr. Larson has refused to 14 wear his mask this morning. It's my intention, then, to revoke his appointment --15 or my permission for him to proceed by representing himself, 16 17 and reappointing Mr. Jones. 18 Do you have any comment about that? 19 MR. JONES: No. I accept the appointment, Your 20 Honor. I understand the Court's position. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Enos, any comments? 22 MR. ENOS: Certainly no negative ones. I appreciate 23 the ability to speak to Mr. Larson's counsel in this manner, 24 at this point forward, at least to get through discovery. THE COURT: I would note that I did, through the 25

marshal, advise Mr. Larson of his refusal to come in, I would deem him to be a disruptive defendant and, thereby, in essence, giving up his right to represent himself in this case.

I also indicated that I would be appointing Mr. Jones to represent him. And despite that, he is refusing to put on his --

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: -- despite that, he is refusing to put on his mask and enter the courtroom. So I will appoint Mr. Jones.

We were on calendar for status. Anything we can do in that regard?

MR. ENOS: You know, there is, Your Honor. I -there's a lot of things that -- moving forward. I'll just
state on the record, since our last court appearance, the
government has indeed gone through all written discovery and
redacted pursuant to the prior protective order in place.

Mr. Jones and frankly, Mr. Larson's investigator, Brian Pinto,
P-I-N-T-O, very graciously allowed me to hand materials to him

so Mr. Larson can more efficiently receive them.

I handed them to Mr. Jones last Friday, the 25th, and I understand Mr. Larson received them on the 28th.

There are some things that we can still do even before we figure out a continuance. I've received two letters

directly from Mr. Larson since he has -- since he was first appointed from the Court, allowed to represent himself. One of them goes into some detail about case resolution issues. I want to follow up with those with Mr. Jones.

In addition, there's limited parts of discovery, frankly, I did not give to Mr. Larson, for -- you know, to have in the jail cell. They had to do with a video-taped interview of the confidential victim, who's a minor, as well as either an audio or a body cam interview of her then 13-year-old friend.

What I propose to do now is -- and I think the protocol would be simpler here is, I'll work with Mr. Jones with respect to the defense receiving those, and able to review those.

There also may be limited additional information that I don't yet have that I'll work with Mr. Jones as well, but I think we can still continue -- at least proceed with those matters that I've raised today even before we reconvene.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jones, do you have comments?

MR. JONES: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Any further comments then today?

MR. JONES: No. I -- as far as moving forward, I would ask the Court to -- I know Mr. Larson's position was not to waive time. However, I would move to exclude time and

```
1
     continue this hearing for at least two weeks and revisit the
 2
     status conference at that time.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Is that going to be enough
 4
     time, Mr. Jones, two weeks?
 5
              MR. JONES: Well, at least two weeks. If we can
 6
     actually get -- three weeks, would be better, but I'm not
 7
     available on the 21st.
 8
              MR. ENOS: We normally would hear this on a Friday,
 9
     though: is that correct. Your Honor?
10
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
11
              MR. JONES: So the 22nd.
12
              THE COURT: That would be, of course, though unless
13
     Mr. Larson is insisting on in-person appearance; but if he's
14
     not going to wear a mask, I don't know.
15
              MR. JONES:
                          Then I would probably be in a position
16
     where I'd have to ask him.
17
              THE COURT: Set an in-person and see how it goes on
18
     that day.
19
              MR. JONES:
                          Yes.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Then let's see, we can set it
21
     on April 21st.
22
              MR. ENOS: I believe that's still a Thursday.
23
              THE COURT: It is a Thursday, but that's when I'm
24
     doing in-person appearances.
```

MR. ENOS: Got it. That works for the government.

25

1 MR. JONES: I'm, unfortunately, in Merced court that 2 morning. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. JONES: 4 The 28th, I'm available. The 22nd, the 5 Friday, or the 28th. 6 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and set it on the 28th, 7 then. 8 MR. JONES: Okay. 9 THE COURT: And we'll set that for in-person 10 appearance. Of course, again, Mr. Jones, I'm going to ask you 11 to let Mr. Larson know that masking will be required on that day as well. To allow Mr. Jones to come back up-to-speed to 12 13 review the discovery provided by Mr. Enos and to obtain 14 additional discovery, I will find good cause exists, and the 15 interest of justice outweigh the interest of the defendant in 16 a public and a speedy trial. I will exclude time pending that 17 next hearing, which is going to be April 28th. 18 MR. JONES: 28th. 19 THE COURT: April 28th, at nine o'clock. 20 MR. ENOS: And to add to the good cause basis for the 21 exclusion, Your Honor, the government will also discuss 22 potential case resolution initially raised by Mr. Larson in a 23 letter directly addressed to me and dated March 19, 2022. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that. I'll

find that's the basis as well. All right. Thank you.

25

Case 1:21-cr-00022-JLT-SKO Document 78 Filed 04/18/22 Page 7 of 7 MR. ENOS: Thank you. THE CLERK: Court is in recess. (Proceedings were adjourned at 9:12 a.m.) I, RACHAEL LUNDY, Official Reporter, do hereby certify the foregoing transcript as true and correct. Dated: April 18, 2022 /s/ Rachael Lundy_ RACHAEL LUNDY, CSR-RPR